



PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Par	perwork Reduction Act of 1995	no persons	s are required to respond to a col	lection of info	ormation	unless it o	displays a valid OMB control n	umber.	
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number	10/773,652	10/773,652				
			Filing Date	February 6, 2004					
			First Named Inventor	Logosz					
			Art Unit	3643					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	Collins					
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3			Attorney Docket Number	SSKB -202					
Total Number of	r Pages in This Subhission		1						
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)  After Allowance Communication to TC									
	smittal Form ee Attached		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers			of App	I Communication to Board eals and Interferences I Communication to TC		
Extension  Express  Information  Certified Documer  Reply to Incomple	ent/Reply  Ifter Final  Iffidavits/declaration(s)  In of Time Request  Abandonment Request  on Disclosure Statement  Copy of Priority Int(s)  Intimize the Application Reply to Missing Parts Index 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatio Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on Cl rks	Address	Retu	Proprie Status Other I below)	Enclosure(s) (please Ident	tify	
	SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, C	R AG	ENT			
Firm Name Signature	mol		n						
Printed name	Nathan Koenig						<u> </u>		
Date	June 13, 2005			Reg. No.	38,210				
I hereby certify the sufficient postage the date shown is	hat this correspondence is e as first class mail in an e	heing facs	CATE OF TRANSMISS	TO or depos	sited wit	h the Un x 1450, /	ited States Postal Service Alexandria, VA 22313-145	e with	
Signature		1	Ci						
Typed or printed	I name Nathan Koenig			\		Date	June 13, 2005		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Logosz	)				
FOR: INFLATABLE WING WITH MANIFOLD	) )				
	)				
SERIAL NO: 10/773,652	)				
FILED: February 6, 2004	)				
ART UNIT NO: 3643	)				
EXAMINER: Collins					
DOCKET NO: SSKB - 202					

## **RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION**

Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed May 12, 2005, Applicant elects, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-8. With respect to the required species elections, Applicant submits that in addition to the types of valves recited in Claim 5 and identified by the Examiner, an alternate type of valve as defined by this invention includes a clamp as covered by Claim 4. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully elects the species disclosed by Claim 4, and submits that Claims 1-3 and 6-8 relate to this species.

As noted, this election is made with traverse. With respect to the relationship between Groups I and II, the Examiner asserts that the inventions are unrelated because "one is a type of a wing and the other is an inflatable raft or other such structure." Applicant respectfully submits that both sets of claims are directed to inflatable structures, Group I being the more generic.

Attorney Docket: SSKB -202

However, the inflatable wings of Group II are examples of the type of inflatable structures claimed in Group I.

Also, the Examiner states Groups I and III are unrelated, noting "one is a raft and the other is a method of making a wing which has nothing to do with any inflatable structure such as a raft." Again, Applicant respectfully submits that Group I is directed to generic inflatable structures, including aerodynamic wings such as leading edge inflatable kites (see Claim 6, e.g.). As such, the method of Group III involves the use of an apparatus such as claimed in Group I.

Applicant believes that each issue raised in the Restriction Requirement has been addressed. If it would expedite matter, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's representative, Nathan Koenig, at (541) 806-2252.

Respectfully submitted, Nathan Koenig

Reg. No. 38,210